

Course Grade Outcomes Data for Bottleneck Courses, Fall 2003 through Fall 2005

- Statistics in these tables are based on all students enrolled in the selected bottleneck courses in Fall 2003-04. These statistics are not cumulative.

Fall 2003	Math 1301 College Alg	Eng 1302 Frosh Comp II	Hist 1305 U.S. Hist I
<i>% with C or better</i>	38.6 399/1034	54.7 386/706	57.8 529/915
<i>% with D</i>	12.1 125/1034	5.8 41/706	12.7 116/915
<i>% with F/W</i>	49.3 510/1034	39.5 279/706	29.5 270/915

Fall 2004	Math 1301 College Alg	Eng 1302 Frosh Comp II	Hist 1305 U.S. Hist I
<i>% with C or better</i>	38.1 401/1053	53.1 402/757	53.6 501/934
<i>% with A</i>	8.4 88/1053	11.9 90/757	8.5 79/934
<i>% with B</i>	13.3 140/1053	21.7 164/757	21.3 199/934
<i>% with C</i>	16.4 173/1053	19.6 148/757	23.9 223/934
<i>% with D</i>	11.7 123/1053	4.4 33/757	13.6 127/934
<i>% with F/W</i>	50.2 529/1053	42.5 322/757	32.8 306/934
<i>% with no repeats</i>	69.4 731/1053	69.4 525/757	81.3 759/934
<i>% with no repeats who passed with C or better</i>	43.8 320/731	58.5 307/525	56.3 427/759
<i>% with at least 1 repeat</i>	30.6 322/1053	30.6 232/757	18.7 175/934
<i>% with at least 1 repeat who passed with C or better</i>	25.2 81/322	40.9 95/232	42.3 74/175
<i>% continuing from prereq. course</i>	42.4 446/1053	69.9 529/757	30.0 280/934
<i>% continuing from prereq. who passed with C or better</i>	26.9 120/446	51.4 272/529	50.7 142/280
<i>% placed or transfer</i>	57.6 607/1053	30.1 228/757	70.0 654/934
<i>% placed or transfer who passed with C or better</i>	46.3 281/607	57.0 130/228	54.9 359/654

- Statistics in this table are based on all students enrolled in the selected bottleneck courses in Fall 2005. These statistics are not cumulative.

Fall 2005	Math 1301 College Alg	Eng 1302 Frosh Comp II	Hist 1305 U.S. Hist I
<i>% with C or better</i>	44.1 451/1023	48.3 350/724	48.0 341/711
<i>% with A</i>	11.9 122/1023	12.8 93/724	8.0 57/711
<i>% with B</i>	13.8 141/1023	18.6 135/724	18.7 133/711
<i>% with C</i>	18.4 188/1023	16.9 122/724	21.2 151/711
<i>% with D</i>	12.0 123/1023	4.0 34/724	13.1 93/711
<i>% with F</i>	31.3 320/1023	31.9 231/724	24.9 177/711
<i>% with W</i>	12.6 129/1023	15.1 109/724	14.1 100/711
<i>% with no repeats</i>	70.1 717/1023	69.1 500/724	81.7 581/711
<i>% with no repeats who passed with C or better</i>	47.3 339/717	52.2 261/500	51.5 299/581
<i>% with at least 1 repeat</i>	29.9 306/1023	30.9 224/724	18.3 130/711
<i>% with at least 1 repeat who passed with C or better</i>	36.6 112/306	39.7 89/224	32.3 42/130
<i>% continuing from prereq. course</i>	43.9 449/1023	64.8 469/724	31.9 227/711
<i>% continuing from prereq. who passed with C or better</i>	35.6 160/449	48.8 229/469	37.9 86/227
<i>% placed or transfer</i>	56.1 574/1023	35.2 255/724	68.1 484/711
<i>% placed or transfer who passed with C or better</i>	50.7 291/574	47.5 121/255	52.7 255/484

Learning Outcomes Data for Initial Bottleneck Courses, Spring 2006

- Statistics in these tables are based on samples of students enrolled in either Math 1301 or Eng 1302 in Spring 2006. The sample size is denoted by n . The major assessment for Math 1301 is a comprehensive, multiple-choice final exam. The major assessment for Eng 1302 is a college-level research paper.

Spring 2006		Math 1301 College Alg	Eng 1302 Frosh Comp II
<i>% with at least one recorded grade</i>		93.9 ($n=592$)	91.8 ($n=622$)
<i>% taking/submitting "major" assessment</i>		68.6 ($n=592$)	65.8 ($n=622$)
<i>% with at least grade 70 on major assessment</i>		27.4 ($n=592$)	51.8 ($n=622$)
<i>% below grade 50 on major assessment</i>		14.5 ($n=592$)	8.2 ($n=622$)
<i>Major assessment average grade</i>		62.1 ($n=406$)	74.5 ($n=409$)
<i>% taking/submitting major assessment who passed</i>		74.1 ($n=406$)	93.9 ($n=409$)
<i>% paid students who passed with C or better</i>		36.9 ($n=751$)	55.9 ($n=622$)

Math 1301	Learning Objective A	Learning Objective B.1	Learning Objective B.2	Learning Objective B.3	Learning Objective B.4
<i>% mastery (paid students)*</i>	45 ($n=592$)	45 ($n=592$)	38 ($n=592$)	36 ($n=592$)	42 ($n=592$)
<i>% mastery (students taking "major" assessment)*</i>	66 ($n=350$)	66 ($n=350$)	56 ($n=350$)	53 ($n=350$)	61 ($n=350$)

Math 1301	Learning Objective C.1	Learning Objective C.2	Learning Objective D.1	Learning Objective D.2	Learning Objective E.1	Learning Objective E.2
<i>% mastery (paid students)*</i>	<i>No data</i>	38 ($n=592$)	40 ($n=592$)	42 ($n=592$)	<i>No data</i>	41 ($n=592$)
<i>% mastery (students taking "major" assessment)*</i>	<i>No data</i>	56 ($n=350$)	59 ($n=350$)	61 ($n=350$)	<i>No data</i>	60 ($n=350$)

Eng 1302	Learning Objective A	Learning Objective B	Learning Objective C	Learning Objective D	Learning Objective E
<i>% of paid students mastering learning objective†</i>	52 ($n=622$)	52 ($n=622$)	52 ($n=622$)	52 ($n=622$)	52 ($n=622$)
<i>% submitting "major" assessment mastering learning objective†</i>	79 ($n=409$)	79 ($n=409$)	79 ($n=409$)	79 ($n=409$)	79 ($n=409$)

*Each question on the comprehensive final exam was mapped to one of the Math 1301 learning objectives. The number of students mastering a particular objective is estimated as follows. The total points awarded to all students for all questions corresponding to the given objective was divided by the total points available for the corresponding questions (assuming everyone initially enrolled had taken the final exam). This ratio was then multiplied by the number of paid students. Estimates in the second row are computed in a corresponding manner.

†Estimates based on the number of students who scored a cumulative grade of 70 or better on the research paper.

TABLE OF ENG 1302 LEARNING OBJECTIVES

- A. Develop a unified, organized, coherent argument
- B. Critically analyze and evaluate five to ten sources
- C. Integrate ideas from sources through effective summary, paraphrase, and quotation
- D. Document ideas in MLA style, accurately acknowledging sources and avoiding plagiarism
- E. Use language appropriate for academic writing at the college level

TABLE OF MATH 1301 LEARNING OBJECTIVES

- A. Model problems using elementary mathematical tools such as functions, relations, and equations
- B. Manipulate and examine these models effectively
 - 1. Determine key properties of functions and relations from various representations
 - 2. Evaluate function notation properly
 - 3. Convert functions and relations between various representations
 - 4. Solve equations, inequalities, and linear systems
- C. Reason appropriately from models to draw conclusions
 - 1. Categorize functions and relations into various families by the type of expression or other key properties
 - 2. Recognize important common properties of function and relation families
- D. Interpret results intelligently in the problem context
 - 1. Apply key properties of functions and relations to answer practical questions
 - 2. Interpret function notation properly
- E. Use mathematics as a language to communicate ideas efficiently
 - 1. Use function notation properly
 - 2. Use set notation properly